



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/051,264	02/01/2002	Bryan Scott	Scott.00003	9429

7590

03/31/2004

Steven W. Thrasher  
391 Sandhill Dr.  
Richardson, TX 75080

EXAMINER

CHEN, ALAN S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2182

3

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Interview Summary

Application No. <b>10051264</b>	Applicant(s) <b>Bryan Scott, Ramon Peralta, Saneesh Divakaran</b>	
Examiner <b>Alan Chen</b>	Group Art Unit <b>2182</b>	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- |                       |                            |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| (1) <u>Alan Chen</u>  | (3) <u>Steven Thrasher</u> |
| (2) <u>Paul Myers</u> | (4) <u>Bryan Scott</u>     |

Date of Interview 3/29/04

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description:

Demonstration of product given

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1

Identification of prior art discussed:

No. 6,286,060 B1 to DiGiorgio

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Independent claims need to be more detailed particularly to "co-processor" and "data element" terms. Clarification of the role of the hand-held vs. the external data elements is recommended (e.g., roles as master/slave)

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

**PAUL R. MYERS**  
PRIMARY EXAMINER